

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005

नियत तिथि/Due date -22.01.2014

TIME BOUND/RTI MATTER

भारत सरकार
खान मंत्रालय
शास्त्री भवन : नई दिल्ली

विषय: सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्राप्त आवेदन ।

श्रीमती. ममता भार्गव, कटनी से प्राप्त आवेदन दिनांक 16.12.2013 को इस पत्र के साथ उचित कार्यवाई हेतु प्रस्तुत किया जा रहा है ।

2. सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 की धारा 6(3) के अनुसार यदि मांगी गई सूचना का विषय अन्य सरकारी कार्यालय से जुड़ा/सम्बद्ध है तो वह अधिकारी, जिसको आवेदन भेजा गया है, ऐसे आवेदन को संबंधित सरकारी अधिकारी/कार्यालय को, आवेदन की प्राप्ति की तारीख से यथाशीघ्र लेकिन 5 दिनों के भीतर स्थानांतरित कर सकेगा तथा इस बारे में आवेदक को भी सूचित करेगा।

3. आपसे अनुरोध है कि उक्त आवेदन को आर.टी.आई. ऑनलाईन पोर्टल में प्राप्ति के तीन दिनों में तुरंत प्रविष्ट करके आवेदक को पावती भेजी जाए एवं सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के नियमों का पालन करते हुए उसपर निर्धारित समय में कार्यवाई की जाए ।

संलग्न: FTS 18620

(राजेश शाह/Rajesh Shah)

ए.सी.पी.आई.ओ./ACPIO

श्री. अमित चौबे, अनुभाग अधिकारी/केन्द्रीय लोक सूचना अधिकारी, खान मंत्रालय

पी.आई. सैल/PI Cell U.O. No. ई-मिसिल 2/222/2013-पी.आई. दिनांक/Dated 26.12.2013

196

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम 2005 की धारा 6 (1) के अंतर्गत आवेदन पत्र

1. आवेदक का नाम : **MAMTA BHARGAVA, BHARGAVA HOUSE, NAI BASTI, KATNI (MP)**
 2. पूरा पता / ई-मेल / फ़ैक्स, फ़ोन नं. जिस पर जानकारी दी जानी हो : **MAMTA BHARGAVA, BHARGAVA HOUSE, NAI BASTI, KATNI (MP) Email: bhargavamineral@gmail.com**
 Tele/Fax : 07622 220645, 220122 Mobile : 09425154366

3. आवेदन देने की दिनांक : **16/12/13** आवेदन पावती की दिनांक प्राप्तकर्ता के हस्ताक्षर **10 F 999454**

4. आवेदन के साथ अदा की जाने वाली प्रोसेस फीस रुपये 10/- नगद / स्टाम्प / IPO / MO संलग्न
 (बी.पी.एल. सूची के सदस्य को देय नहीं रसीद कं. एवं दिनांक

5. क्या आवेदक गरीबी रेखा के नीचे है अथवा नहीं - हों/नहीं यदि है तो बी. पी. एल. सूची का अनुक्रमांक

6. क्या चाहते हैं नकल/निरीक्षण/रिकार्ड/का निरीक्षण/रिकार्ड की प्रमाणित प्रति/प्रमाणित नमूना: प्रमाणित जानकारी /रिकार्ड की प्रमाणित प्रति

7. कार्यालय का नाम: **मुख्य महोदय, खान मंगलगढ़, शाही भवन गड्डिहवा**

8. नाम सूचना प्रदान करने वाले अधिकारी/कार्यालय : **सेक्शन ऑफ़र एवं डिप्टी सेक्शन ऑफ़र MINES IV**
माइन्स IV, खान मंगलगढ़, शाही भवन गड्डिहवा

9. जानकारी का विवरण - मांगी गई जानकारी का विवरण जो सूचना कि परिभाषा 2 (F) RTI act के अंतर्गत प्रदाय योग्य है :

(1) **Case for mining lease Recommendation U/s 5(1) of 26/11/13**
NMDR Act 1957 in favor of Ashok Singh &
M/s Man Sharda Enterprises, Katni
Village: MANUELI - DIST KATNI
AREA 14.24 Hectares. Pl provide following:-

(1.1) **Action taken on Mamta Bhargava's Representation**
dt. 29-09-2013 and 14-12-2013 with Note that
and communication with SG and beneficiary IBM.

(2) **Reply received from State Govt & MP against**
letter dt 6/12/13 and IBM who conducted PL operation?

(2) **CASE FOR PL Recommendation Kynore Donore (D) Hd.**
Village Mahaganon Dist Jabalpur in
File NO. 5/13/2013-M. IV-Pl provide following info

2.1 **Compliance of High Court Interim Order in W/P**
No. 15459/2011 staying final decision for khassa
No 5 and 39 Village Mahaganon Dist Jabalpur (copy)
 2.2 **Compliance of HC Order in W/P NO. 15621/2011 (Commercial)**
 2.3 **Balance information under RTI**
letter dt 22-10-2013.
 2.4 **Action on Representation dt. 15-09-2013**

(Copy enclosed)

End: **1 PO + Copy of High Court Orders.**

1. आवेदन पत्र प्राप्त होने की दिनांक **15-10-2013**

2. आवेदनकर्ता के लिये वांछित जानकारी प्राप्त करने के संबंध कार्यवाही हेतु 3. संबंधित शाखा / अधिकारी जहां से जानकारी प्राप्त होगी

(लोक सूचना अधिकारी / सहा. लोक सूचना अधिकारी द्वारा प्राधिकृत)

18620

2013



C-File 2/196/2013-PT
23/12

Smt. Mamta Bhargava
Bhargava Lane, Nai Basti, KATNI (MP)

Email: bhargavaminerals@gmail.com

Cell 09425154366/57452, Tele: 07622 220645

Katni, Dated: 15.09.2013

To,
The Secretary to Government of India,
Ministry of Mines, MINES IV
Shastri Bhawan, NEW DELHI n

Registered Post

Sir,

Subject : 1. PL Recommendation dated 19.03.2013 in favor of M/s Kymore Iron ore Pvt Ltd, Bhopal in respect of 7.370 hectares area falling under Khasra No. 5, 10 to 21, 23, 37 and 38 forwarded by State govt. of MP for prior approval u/s 5(1)/11(5) of Act 57

Refn'ce: 1. PL applications filed by Smt Mamta Bhargava on 05.10.1990 and 21.08.2007 over Khasra No 39, 3 to 7 10 to 13, 36 and 38 total 15.988 hectares in single compact Block made much prior to proposed allottee M/s Kymore Iron Ore (P) Ltd, Bhopal
2. Hon'ble High Court Jabalpur Restraint Order dated 16.09.2011 in W/P No. 15621/2011 and Tribunal's order on Revision covering both the PL applications
3. Hon'ble High Court Jabalpur Restraint Order dated 14.09.2011 in W/P No. 15459 /2011 filed By Smt Tavinder Gujral and Tribunal order dated 31.12.2010 in respect Of Khasra No. 39 and 5 of Village: Mahgawan, Dist: Jabalpur (MP)
4. Applicants representation dated 26.04.13 to SG & personal discussion concluding that Free area kh.No.14 to 23 below 4 hecets, proposal dt. 19.03.2013 u/r 22D vitiated
5. MOM RTI communication dated 03.09.2013 with File note sheets on subject matter

Applicant acknowledges the receipt of RTI communication dated 03.09.2013 along with some note sheets disclosing Ministry's view after superficial examination of SG Proposal dated 19.03.2011 by concerned SO and inclination to proceed with the approval proceedings stating " ... As such, there appears to be no legal infirmity in the recommendation dated 19.03.2013 of the State Government of Madhya Pradesh" is shockingly surprising. This conclusion is pre-mature and patently erroneous without referring the factual matrix properly and made in transgression/usurpation of power vested in Mines Tribunal u/s 30 and High Courts under article 226/227. It is contrary to orders dated 31.12.2010 and 05.01.2011 made by Mines Tribunal and Restraint orders of Hon'ble High Court in WP No. 15459/2011 filed by Smt. Tavinder Kaur Gujral and WP NO. 15621/2011 filed by Smt. Mamta Bhargava. Copy of the petitions/notices have been served to Ministry being one of the party to the above writ petitions which needs to be confirmed from the office of Asstt. Solicitor General, High Court Jabalpur who represents the Central Govt. in MP high Court along with case status/opinion in the matter if required.

The humble applicant, who is one of the proposed beneficiary since 1990 and 2007 under her prior PL applications dated 05.10.1990 area 5.188 hecets and 21.08.2007 area 11.800 hectares respectively over Khasra numbers 39, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 36 and 38 on non notified area having legitimate

Contd...2....

Mamta Bhargava

expectation for allotment, is submitting the following important points/issues which escaped the attention of the authorities concerned u/s 5(1) and 11(5) while examining proposal dated 19.03.2013 leading to a manifest error on facts and law ought to be avoided at the soonest in the interest of justice and fair-play:

1. That, Applicants' representation dated 26.04.2013 addressed to Secretary, State Government of MP makes the position amply clear that in the light of High Court's orders and Mines tribunal directions, State Govt. has become functuous-officio losing power/jurisdiction to proceed with the hearing/allotment of the area which were subject matter of Revision/High Court Orders mentioned above. Therefore, SG Proposal/decision dtd 19.03.2013 lacking of power and jurisdiction is void ab-initio and non est in the eyes of law much less cannot be acted up on by Central Government for approval u/s 5(1)/11(5) of MMDR Act 1957. Free area falling under Khasra Numbers 14 to 23 total admeasuring 3.46 hectares under PL Application dated 3/4/08 filed by Kymore Ironore Pvt Ltd being less than 4 hectares is not available under rule 22D of MCR 1960 consequently on this count alone recommendation dated 19.03.2013 u/s 5(1) and 11(5) made by State Govt of MP in their favor got vitiated. It is settled law that invalid proposal cannot be relied much less acted upon. In Lafarge Mining case Apex court has held that court should not face fait accompli situation and concerned administration must make proper base line scrutiny of proposals in the light of Procedure, Rules/Act governing the permission/grant and in Tata Cellular case It was held that material irregularity and procedural lapse vitiate the matter leading to its rejection. Honorable Apex Court Recently, While giving opinion on presidential reference in 2 G case has held that valid procedure recognized by law, transparency and fair play must be essence in allocation of natural resources of the country.

2. That, Revision filed by Revisionist Smt. Mamta Bhargava before Mines Tribunal covers both PL applications filed on 05.10.1990 and 21.08.2007 and total area falling under Khasra No. 39, 3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,36 and 38 as would be evident from the Form N of Revision filed on 15.11.2008. Tribunal and later High Court Orders dated 14.09.2013 16.09.2011 leaves no occasion for state govt to deal with these Khasra numbers in whole/part or after fragmentation/division to the advantage of above beneficiary applying rule 22D of MCR 1960 mischievously to the disadvantage of the Petitioners/applicants in utter disregard to Tribunal/High court order.

3 That, Revision filed by Revisionist Smt. Tavinder Kaur Gujral before Mines Tribunal covers her both PL/ML applications filed on 28.03.2006 on the area falling under Khasra No. 39 and 5 duly remanded by Mines tribunal under order dated 31.12.2010.

4. That, The Ld Advocate General office gave its opinion on the basis of material/facts provided to them by State Government which is based on incomplete information and can not be relied upon. SG is guilty of suppressing the facts of existence of prior PL applications dated 21.08.2007 covering Khasra Nos 3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,36 & 38 and highlighted only khasra No. 39 under PL application dated 05.10.1990. In fact State Government has already arrived at conclusion in its official proceedings for grant in favor of Smt. Mamta Bhargava after a detailed probe scrutiny into the matter and grant order is to be released following due process. Therefore, direct grant relief was prayed for in the WP for Khasra No 39. Regarding area falling under Khasra numbers 3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,36 and 38, Since State Govt

Contd...3....

Mamta Bhargava

has to take decision on the applications validly in the fray before cutoff date i.e. 22.08.2007 remanded by Central Govt. under order dated 05.01.11. Copy of Revision Form N in RC No. 16(46)/2008/RCII page 2 clearly covers (Enclosed) Khasra No. 39,3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,36 & 38 total 16.988 hectares compact to be considered by State Govt on priority therefore hearing notice dated 17.08.11 inviting applicants lacking locus was impugned. SG also concealed the existence of prior claim of Smt. Tavinder Kaur Gujral over Khasra No. 5 and 39 vide her applications dated 28.03.2006 and restraint order dated 14.09.2011 in her WP No. 15459/2011 by High Court. Therefore Ld. Advocate General opinion lacks bona fide in absence of complete facts of the case much less cannot be acted upon by Central Govt.

5. It is humbly submitted after exclusion of overlapping area 3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,36 and 38 which are covered by prior PL application dated 21.08.2007 made by Smt. Mamta Bhargava and Smt. Tavinder Kaur Gujral under her application dated 28.03.2006 and were/are subject matter of Mines Tribunal and High Court orders and still pending in High Court for final decision, factually/legally and practically for all purposes, there remains only Khasra No. 14 to 23 for consideration which first being less than 4 Hectors is not available for consideration in terms of rule 22D of MCR 1960. Secondly, when a compact and contiguous block meeting zero waste, scientific mining with no barrier loss is available in favor of prior applicant on non notified area, there arises no question of dividing it into small part/fragmentation contrary to NMP 2008, State Mineral policy 2010 and above all section 18 of MMDR Act 1957 and MCDR 1988 which cast a duty on state and central mineral administration and other technical bodies for scientific development, mining and conservation of minerals. It would be in the fitness of the things to invite comments from IBM/State Directorate of Geology and Mining with a copy of map and applied area by each of the applicant showing vacant/overlapped/pre-occupied area to clarify and understand the things in the interest of Mineral development and confirm the availability of free area in light of rule 22D from scientific mining view.

6. It is submitted that section 11(2) of MMDR Act 1957 in case of non-notified area prior/after 20.12 1999 amendments confers a right offering guarantee for preferential consideration and treatment of prior applications. Simultaneous consideration is only possible when applications fall under section 11(3) and 11(4) zonal of consideration which is not the case here. Therefore, under rule 22D of MCR 1960 read with section 18 of MMDR Act 1957 for scientific and systematic mining, State Government ought to plot, compute and ensure the available area of minimum 4 hectares first on the prior applications dated 05.10.1990 and 21.08.2007 filed by Smt. Mamta Bhargava and remanded by Central Government under its order dated 05.01.2011. Thereafter, on remaining area should see the availability of area under rule 22D of MCR 1960. In the present case, more than 4 hectares area is available under PL applications dated 05.10.1990 and on adjacent and adjoining area under another PL application dated 21.08.2007 despite that mischievously State Govt picked later application for consideration for computation of area under rule 22D to the advantage of Kymore Iron Ore Private Ltd, on their PL application dated 03/04/08 filed much later. It is deceptive approach making mockery of the provisions and justifying recommendation dated 19.03.2013 for obtaining approval u/s 5(1) and 11(5) duping the Central Government without much explanation on prior applicant's rights.

7. Pertinent to mention that In addition to Central Government's Orders dated 31.12.2010 and 05.01.2011, State Govt. also purposely concealed and suppressed the injunction orders dated 14.09.2011 and 16.09.2011 passed by Honorable High Court Jabalpur, MP in WP No. 15459/2011 and 15621/2011 filed by Smt. Tavinder Kaur Gujral and Smt. Mamta Bhargava respectively restraining the respondents to pass any order in the matter till final disposal of these petition. Both the petition cover major portion falling under Khasra No. 39, 5, 3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,36 & 38 and remaining free area not affected by

Contd...4....

:: 4 ::

Prior validly pending PL applications dated 05.10.1990, 28.03.2006 and 21.08.2007 also not covered by Central Government Hon'ble High Court Orders remains a small portion falling under Khasra No. 14 to 23 total admeasuring 3.340 Hect. part of proposal dated 19.03.2013 and that being less than 4 hectors is not available to M/s Kymore Iron ore Private Limited in terms of Rule 22D of MCR 1960. Map/schedule and size of the area under Khasra No. 14 to 23 and copy of letter dated 26.04.2013 makes it amply clear that free area under recommendation dated 19.03.2013 under Khasra Number 14 to 23 is less than 4 hectors and not available/fit for scientific mining as also in contravention to rule 22D. It is also not conducive to any beneficiation project requiring 1000 tons per day material fulfilling condition under rule 27(3) of MCR 1960 read with section 11(5) for long term captive use in a business-like manner achieving object of running a 1000/1500 tons per day capacity beneficiation plant.

8. It is submitted that without examining the proposal and proper scrutiny in the light of provisions of MMDR Act 1957 read with NMP 2008 and draft guidelines 24.06.2009, Central Government is processing the case disowning its own parameters/norms. In case of Non notified areas, para 8.13 of draft guidelines 24.06.2009 states: *"Accordingly, the first-in-time Principal must be the norm and the Central Government would normally not favour an exception to this principal."* In case of 11(5) invocation, again para 8.14 states that *"Special reasons should be in the public interest and for economic development and must be capable of withstanding legal scrutiny."* These vital norms for transparency and fair play in allotment natural resources of the country of and duty cast upon State/Central Government under a notified policy NMP 2008 read with section 4(2) and 18 of MMDR Act 1957 are being defied/ignored and apparently being violated to the advantage of a undeserving beneficiary who is in the fray as a later applicant, investor the land and eyeing the grant in collusion and connivance with the State Mineral administration, Nepotism vitiates and invalidates the allocation.

9. That, the Hon'ble High Court's Restrain Order dated 16.09.2011 passed in WP No. 15621/2011 reading as *"Till the next date of listing final orders on the basis of remand made by Central Government shall not be passed by the State Government."* as also another Restraint Order dated 14.09.2011 in W/P No. 15459/2011 by High Court Jabalpur leaves no occasion for State and Central Government who are duty bound to obey/comply with it or allow them to deal/proceed with the matter in any manner on any count except free area under Khasra No. 14 to 23 which being less than 4 hectors contrary to Rule 22 D of MCR 1960 vitiates the recommendation dated 19.03.2013. It would also be subversive to judicial discipline constituting contempt in case State/Central Government accords approval/grant based on a patently illegal and vitiated recommendation dated 19.03.2013 sent by State Government actuated by oblique motive.

Under the facts and circumstances narrated here-in-above and earlier representations disclosing fraudulent conduct of SG and host of material irregularity, procedural lapse, lack of jurisdiction, courts orders restraining the State/Central Govt. to proceed with the grant/approval proceedings, It is requested that a show-cause notice be issued to SG of MP on willful suppression of facts & adoption of deceptive practices and reject the recommendation dated 19.03.2013 in the interest of justice and fair-play.

Thanking you,

Yours truly,

Mamta Bhargava
(Mamta Bhargava- Applicant)

Encl: as above

Copy to: 1. Shri CK Ravat, Dy Secreary, - MOM, MINES IV/GOI New Delhi for k.inf & n.a please
2. Shri AK Mullick, Under Secreary, Shri Amit Chobe, SO- MOM, MINES IV/GOI for Action plz.

भारत सरकार
TWENTY RUPEES

TWENTY RUPEES

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHA PRADESH
PRINCIPAL SEAT AT JABALPUR**

W.P. No.....15459/2011

PETITIONER :

Tavinder Gujral, wife of Shri Shambhunath,
aged about 50 years, R/o House No. 1119,
Post: Bihari, Village-Tilhari, Fourth Mile
Stone, Jabalpur (M.P.)

Presented on 9.9.11
By N. D. Agarwal
Presentation Assistant

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Union of India, Ministry of Mines
through its Secretary,
A-Wing, Shashtri Bhawan,
Dr. Rajendra Prasad Road,
New Delhi- 110001

2. State of M.P.

Through its Secretary,
Mineral Resources Department,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, M.P.


3. Smt. Janki Singh,
Wife of Shri Chandrabhan Singh,
R/o 249, Hansraj House, Jabalpur (M.P.)

4. Smt. Mamta Bhargav,
Wife of Shri Brij Kishor Bhargav,
R/o Nai Basti, District Katni. (M.P.)

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

**PARTICULARS OF THE CAUSE/ORDER AGAINST WHICH
THE PETITION IS MADE:**

- i) Date of order : 25.08.2011
ii) Order No. : Notice No. 2508/Khanij/2011.
iii) Passed by : Deputy Director, (Mines)
Government of Madhya Pradesh.





HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH

ORDER SHEET

CASE No. 201

..... Vs.

DATE OF THE ORDER	ORDER
	<p>W. P. No. 15459/11</p> <p>14/09/11</p> <p>Shri Nikhil Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents on payment of P. F. within one week, returnable in four weeks.</p> <p>In the meanwhile, finalization of the mining lease shall be subject to final decision of this writ petition.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Rajendra Menon) Judge</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 20px;"><p>TRUE COPY</p><p>Head Copyist,</p><p>High Court of Madhya Pradesh</p><p>JABALPUR</p></div>

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
PRINCIPAL SEAT AT JABALPUR

Writ Petition No. 15621/2011

Petitioner:-

Mamta Bhargava aged about 45 years wife
of Shri B.K. Bhargava R/o Bhargava House,
Nai Basti, Katni, (MP) through Holder of
Power of Attorney Brij Kishore Bhargava
S/o Shri P.K. Bhargava, aged about 52
years, R/o Bhargava House, Nai Basti,
Katni, (MP)

12/9/2011
Sam Mishra

G1234

Ant
Versus

Respondents :

1. Union of India ,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Mines,
to Govt. of india ,
Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi
2. The State of M.P. through Secretary,
Mineral , Resources Department,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)
3. Director ,
Geology and Mining. Govt. Of MP
Khanij Bhawan, Jail Road,
Bhopal (M.P.)




WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA

1. Particulars of the cause/order against which the petition is made:

(1) Date of orders :- Order dt:05/01/2011(Annex P/10) and Hearing
Notice dated 17.8.2011(Annex P/12)

(2) Passed in (File No.): File No. 16(46)/2008-RC -



HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH

ORDER SHEET

CASE No., 201.....

..... Vs.

DATE OF THE
ORDER

ORDER

Writ Petition No. 15621 / 2011

16.9.2011:

Shri Anil Khare, learned counsel for the petitioner

Issue notice to the respondents on payment of P.F.
within one week, returnable by 18.10.2011.

Till the next date of listing, final orders on the basis of
the remand made by the Central Government shall not be
passed by the State Government.

List the matter on 18.10.2011.

Certified copy as per rules.

(Rajendra Menon)
Judge

SS/-

TRUE COPY
[Signature]

http://70.0.0.92/copying/head_copiest_new

CPB-1982-DCGPB-18-3-05-1,00,000

Application No. 61237 / 2011

B-10
C.I.

Application received on	Applicant told to appear on	Applicant appeared on	Application (with or without further correct particulars) sent to	Application (with record or without record, and for further or correct particulars, if any required)	Applicant given notice for further or correct	Applicant given notice for further	Notice in Sr.No. (6) or (7) complied	Copy ready on	Copy delivered on	Court-fee realized
----------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

ब्रिटेन का नमूना डाक टिकट १५ पैसे का (१५/०३) पत्राचार के लिए दहा रुपये का डाक टिकट रस रुपये दश रुपयकाणि

अपक्राम्य
NOT NEGOTIABLE



भारतीय पोस्टल आर्डर
INDIAN POSTAL ORDER

डाक महानिदेशक DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POSTS.

PAY TO

Munishy & Mhey
New Delhi

वस रुपये की रकम THE SUM OF RUPEES TEN ONLY



AT THE POST OFFICE AT

New Delhi

के डाकघर में अदा करें।



कमीशन COMMISSION पैसे 50 PAISE

प्रेषक अपना नाम और पता यहाँ लिख दे।

SENDER MAY FILL IN HIS NAME AND ADDRESS HERE.

Mamte Blomgare
New Delhi
KA-ENI MP)

पोस्ट मास्टर POSTMASTER

इस लाइन के नीचे मत लिखिए DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE

10F 999454