

# EVALUATION TEMPLATE FOR STAR RATING OF MINING LEASES

REPORTING YEAR(RY): \_\_\_\_\_

## PART- I: GENERAL INFORMATION:

### I. LEASE AREA DETAILS

S.N.	Particulars	Validation rule
4	IBM Registration Number (under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988)	Numeric
3	Mine code (for ML)	Alpha Numeric
1	Name of the Mine	Alpha Numeric
2	Name of the Lessee Address Phone & Email	Alpha Numeric
5	Mineral(s)	Alpha Numeric
6	Total Lease Area (ha) (i) Forest Land (ha) (ii) Private Land (ha) (iii) Revenue land (ha) (iv) Others, if any(Specify)	Numeric upto Upto 4 decimal places
7	Lease period (valid up to)	Date(DD/MM/YYYY)
8	Reserves (As per UNFC 111,121 & 122) as on End of the reporting year. (In million tonnes)	Numeric
9	Resources as on End of the reporting year. In million tonnes.	Numeric
10	Method of Mining(Opencast/ Underground, Opencast & Underground)	Alpha Numeric
11	Category - A / B (as per Rule 42(2) of MCDR, 1988)	Alpha Numeric
12	Captive/Non Captive	Alpha Numeric
13	Name and contact details of all the members of Sustainable Development Unit (SDU)	Alpha Numeric
14	Production during reporting year(Tonnes)	Numeric
15	Prominent surface feature of national importance, like monuments, place of worship, rivers, etc. if any,	Alpha Numeric

### II. LEASE AREA UTILISATION

S. No.	Type of land use (in ha)	Area put to use at the end of approved plan period	Area put to use at the at the beginning of RY	Area put to use during the RY	Cumulative area put to use at the end of RY
1	Mining				
2	Mineral storage				
3	Mineral Beneficiation plant				
4	Township				
5	Tailing Pond				
6	Railways				
7	Roads				
8	Infrastructure (Workshop, administrative building etc.)				
9	OB/waste dump				
10	Top soil preservation				
11	Others				
12	Total area put to use				
13	Excavated area reclaimed				
14	Waste dump area reclaimed				

**III. ROYALTY & OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS:**

S. No.	Details	Amount paid in RY in Lakh Rs.
1	Royalty/Dead rent paid	
2	Contribution to DMF	
3	Contribution to NMET	
4	Amount Paid towards despatch sharing in Auction cases	
5	Total of other cess or taxes paid to Government, in addition to above	

**IV. STATUTORY COMPLIANCES**

1.	Environment Clearance, Order No. and validity (i) Quantity approved (ii) Validity	Furnish details like Letter No., Date, Validity, Quantity approved
2.	SPCB Approvals (i) Consent to Establish (ii) Consent to Operate (iii) Approved quantity (iv) Validity	Furnish details like Letter No., Date, Validity, Quantity approved
3.	Forest Clearance, Letter No. and details If applicable	Furnish details like Letter Nos., Date, Validity, Area in hectares. <b>Provision for more than one entry to be made.</b>
4.	Land Acquisition details,  <b>Total Area acquired/purchased so for Total Amount paid</b>	  <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>
5.	Compliance of Vishakha Committee Guidelines <b>for prevention of women harassment at workplace.</b>	Implemented Not Implemented Not Applicable

**PART-II: EVALUATION TEMPLATE**

**MODULE I: MANAGING IMPACTS AT THE MINE LEVEL**

Particulars	Details (To be filled up by Lessee)			Rating Points	Applicable Max Points	Points scored
<b>Spending towards SDF</b>						
Constitution of SDU (Sustainable Development Unit) at Mine level - team of Mine management comprising of Technical, Financial, CSR, HRD, Environment heads for ensuring implementation of SDF principles.	Details of SDU Team			Constituted (3 Points) Not Constituted (0 points)	3	
Total expenditure incurred for implementation of SDF at mine level including -Environment Protection, - CSR & other welfare activities in peripheral area Explanation: Expenditure is not over and above the statutory levies imposed by the Government, However, THIS EXCLUDES CONTRIBUTION TO DMF & NMET .is NOT over and above the statutory levies imposed by the Government.	10% of royalty (a)	Total Expenditure for SDF implementation (b)	%age Utilisation (b/a*100)	>= 100% (5 points) >85 < 100% (3 points) >60-85% (2 points) >50-60% (1 points) < =50% (0 points)	5	
CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) spending at the mine level in Reporting Year (as per Companies Act, 2013 or otherwise)	Committed		Utilised	>= 100% (5 points) >85-99% (3 points) >60-85% (2 points) >50-60% (1 points) < =50% (0 points)	5	
<b>Exploration Activity</b>						
Exploration carried out (as per approved Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining for the Reporting Year)	Proposed	Achieved		>90-100% achievement or if mines has already been explored 100% (5 points) >70-90% (3 points) >50-70% (1 points) <=50% (0 points)	10	
G Axis area status as on the beginning of RY  Area under G1 Area under G2 Area under G3 Non mineralised area.						
G Axis upgradation during RY as per guidelines of MEMC Rule 2015) Area converted under G1 from G2/G3 Remaining area under G2 Remaining area under G3	% age of potential mineralized area explored at G1 level			=100 % (5 points) >75-99% (4 points) >50-75% (3 points) >25-50% (1 points) < =25% (0 points)	5	
Use of MIS/ GIS/ Mining Planning softwares for optimum resource exploitation	Exploration / exploitation modelling softwares / Ore body modelling using			Yes (5 points) No (0 points)	5	

	software / Optimum pit design and production scheduling using software			
--	--	--	--	--

<b>Mining Operation: Opencast</b>				
Production of mineral in tonnes mineral wise	Proposed	Actual	90-100 % Proposed-5 80-90 % Proposed -4 50-80% proposed -2 <50% proposed Or >100% Proposed - 0	5
Removal of waste/ over burden in cubic meters	Proposed	Actual	>80 (5 points) >60-80% (4 points) >40-60% (3 points) >20-40% (2 points) <=20% (0 points)	5
Bench Height	Proposed	Actual maximum bench height	As per proposals(3 points) Else (0 points)	3
Bench Width	Proposed	Actual minimum bench width	As per proposals(3 points) Else (0 points)	3
Excavation ■ Lateral extent ■ Vertical extent	Proposed	Actual	Both within proposals(3 points) Else (0 points )	3

<b>Mining operation: Underground</b>				
Production of mineral in tonnes mineral wise	Proposed	Actual	If less than proposal (5 points) Else (0 points)	5

Matching Underground Development In meters	Proposed	Actual		5
Shaft			As per proposal (1 points) Else (0 points)	
Adit			As per proposal (1 points) Else (0 points)	
Incline/Decline/Ramp			As per proposal (1 points) Else (0 points)	
Horizontal Development at various level			As per proposal (1 points) Else (0 points)	
Vertical development between various levels			As per proposal (1 points) Else (0 points)	

Size of underground openings	Proposed	Actual		3
Size of main drive – 1			If actual is as per proposal 1 else 0	
Size of Raises -1,			If actual is as per proposal 1 else 0	

Size of stope – 1			If actual is as per proposal 1 else 0		
-------------------	--	--	---------------------------------------	--	--

Safeguard against Subsidence					
------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Safeguard against Subsidence

Not Applicable if mine has permission for caving

No Subsidence caused due to mining in reporting year

Subsidence happened due to minig reporting year

5

Ventilation, as per DGMS prescribed norms					
---	--	--	--	--	--

Ventilation, as per DGMS prescribed norms

As per proposal

Not as per proposal

3

Geo-technical monitoring of roof/ stability of pillars					
--	--	--	--	--	--

Geo-technical monitoring of roof/ stability of pillars

As per proposal

Not as per proposal

3

<b>Zero Waste Mining</b>					
--------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Alternative use / Disposal of waste generated (excluding top soil)	Disposal as minor mineral and/or other alternative use	Yes (4 points) No (0 points)	4		
Waste dumping	---	As per proposal (2 points ) Else (0 points) N.A., if no waste generated	2 / NA		

<b>Mineral Conservation</b>					
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Separate stacking of mineral rejects/ subgrade mineral in earmarked area			As per proposal (2 points) Else (0 points) N.A., if no separate mineral rejects /subgrade generated	2 / NA	
--	--	--	---	--------	--

Separate stacking of mineral rejects/ subgrade mineral in earmarked area

Not Applicable

Area  
Submit the grid values  
in case of south and west use "-"sign(minus)

Quantity

	Proposal		Acutal	
E/W	From <input type="text"/>	To <input type="text"/>	From <input type="text"/>	To <input type="text"/>
N/S	From <input type="text"/>	To <input type="text"/>	From <input type="text"/>	To <input type="text"/>
	<input type="text"/>	Tonne ▾	<input type="text"/>	Tonne ▾

2

Beneficiation practices for ROM/mineral reject / subgrade			Yes (5) No (0) N.A. ( if no low grade ROM/subgrade is available / beneficiation not required)	5 / NA	
Blending of mineral reject / sub-grade	Total Mineral reject/Sub	Qty of mineral reject/sub grade	>= 50 % (5 points) 30 - 49 % (4 points) 20-29% (3 points)	5 / NA	

	grade available at mines	used/blended	10 – 19% (1 point) <10% (0 points)		
--	--------------------------	--------------	---------------------------------------	--	--

<b>Environment Compliances</b>					
Top soil utilisation/stacking Stacking 2 points Utilisation 3 points Total 5 points	Proposed	Actual	As per proposals (5 points) Else (0 points) N.A.	5 / NA	
Construction of check dams	Proposed	% achievement	100% achievement (1 points) Else (0 Points) N.A.	1 / NA	
Construction of garland drains	Proposed	% achievement	100% achievement (1 point) Else (0 Points) N.A.	1 / NA	
Construction of retaining walls	Proposed	% achievement	100% achievement (1 point) Else (0 Points) N.A.	1 / NA	
Tailings disposal (applicable if beneficiation facility exists)	Type of disposal		Thickened tailing disposal / Paste filing / Dry disposal (5 points) Conventional disposal methods (0 points) N.A.	5 / NA	
Tailings Utilization (%age)	%age Utilization		>10% (5 points) 5-10% (3 points) >0-5%(1 points) No utilization (0 points)	5 NA	

Dust suppression measures undertaken in mining operation				4	
	Water sprinkling on haulage road,	Yes (1 points) No (0 points)		1	
	Wet drilling/Dust collector			1	
	Water mist spray at crusher			1	
	Covering of inactive dumps by geotextiles/plantation etc.			1	

Disposal of used oil, lubricants through authorized vendors.	---	Yes (1 points) No (0 points)		1	
--	-----	---------------------------------	--	---	--

<b>Ground Water Monitoring</b>					
Mechanism for monitoring of parameters of groundwater (GW) status & quality	---	Monitoring mechanism available (1 points) Not available (0 points)		1	
Ground Water Quality status (with	Details about the GW	Within permissible		2	

reference to CGWB/ CPCB parameters)	Quality assessment	limits (2 points) Above permissible limits (0 points)		
-------------------------------------	--------------------	---	--	--

<b>Water Usage</b>				
Use of mine Water consumption pattern, if applicable	Use of mine water by proper treatment and use for:  Drinking water supply Irrigation For any other purpose Discharge without treatment	5 3 1 0	5 / NA	
Quantity of recycled water as a % of total water drawl from external sources such as bore wells, rivers or piped water, if applicable		>= 50 % (5 points) 21-50 % (3 points) 5-20% (1 points) <5% (0 points) N.A., if recycling not possible	5 / NA	
Innovative approach to save and conserve water in the process	Zero leakage/ water loss in water pipelines, storage tanks and water use processes	Yes (2 points) No (0 point)	2	
	Drip irrigation or other conservation techniques	Yes (2 points) No (0 point)	2	

<b>Environmental Monitoring</b>					
Environmental Monitoring Standards	Core Zone	Buffer zone	RATING POINTS	Applicable Max. Points	Points Scored
a) <b>Ambient Air Quality</b>			Within limit in all three cases(3 points) Else (0 points)	3	
Standards laid down by MoEF&CC					
Core Zone					
Buffer Zone					
b) <b>Surface Water Quality</b>			Within limit in all three cases(3 points) Else (0 points)	3	
Standards laid down by MoEF&CC					
Core Zone					
Buffer Zone					
c) <b>Ground Water Quality</b>			Within limit in all three cases(3 points) Else (0 points)	3	
Standards laid down by MoEF&CC					
Core Zone					
Buffer Zone					
d) <b>Noise Level</b>			Within limit in all three cases(3 points) Else (0 points)	3	
Standards laid down by DGMS					
Core Zone					
Buffer Zone					
e) <b>Ground Vibration</b>			Within limit in all three cases(3 points) Else (0 points)	3	
Standards laid down by DGMS					
Core Zone					
Buffer Zone					

<b>Green Energy Sourcing &amp; Climate Change Initiatives</b>				
Total Energy requirement in KWH	Sourced from Green /renewable sources	>= 5% (4 points) 3-4% (2 points) >0-2%(1 point)	4	
Implementing recommendations of Energy Audit in mining, ore processing etc. as per provisions of Energy Conservation Act, 2001	---	Yes (1 points) No (0 points)	1	
Innovation in energy saving	Use of sensors and low energy consumption devices	Yes (2 points) No ( 0 points)	2	
	Conservation of Fuel	Yes (2 points) No ( 0 points)	2	
	Conservation through other technical intervention. Please list.	Yes (2 points) No ( 0 points)	2	

<b>Compliance Status</b>				
Violations pointed out by IBM	Total no. of violations pointed out	Violations complied with	100% compliance (4 points) 75-99% compliance (3 points) 51-74% compliance (2 points) 25-50% compliance (1 point) <25% compliance (0 points)	4
Violations pointed out by DMG, DGMS, MoEFCC & CPCB/SCPB.	Total no. of violations pointed out  (as informed by lessee)	Violations complied with	100% compliance (4 points) 75-99% compliance (3 points) 50-74% compliance (2 points) 25-49% compliance (1 point) <25% compliance (0 points)	4

NOTE: Proposal and achievement columns are with respect to the reporting year.

<b>Summary of Points obtained in Module-I</b>	<b>Module-I Applicable Maximum Points (1)</b>	<b>Module-I Points Scored (i)</b>
Both Opencast & Underground Mining Only Opencast Only Underground		

## **MODULE II: FINAL/ PROGRESSIVE MINE CLOSURE & LANDSCAPE RESTORATION**

<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Details</b>	<b>Rating Points</b>	<b>Max Applicable Points</b>	<b>Points Scored</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Details</b>
<b>1) Backfilling as per approved Mining Plan /</b>	Proposal	Actual	% (This is to be	100% (3 points) >50 < 100% (2 points)	3 / NA	



			calculated by system)	<=50%(0 point)		
<i>Backfilling Area(Ha.)</i>						
<b>2) Water Reservoir, ground water recharge, and pisciculture, if applicable</b>						
<i>Area under water reservoir</i>				100% (5 points) >50 < 100% (2 points) <=50%(0 point)	5 / NA	
2(a) Efforts towards Rainwater(RW) Harvesting, Groundwater(GW) Recharging				Rain Water harvesting with GW recharging (3 points) Rain Water harvesting with no GW recharging (2 points) No structures (0 points)	3	
Details						
<b>3) Landscape restoration &amp; rehabilitation of ecology &amp; biodiversity</b>						
<i>Plantation over backfilled area</i>				100% (3 points) >50 < 100% (1 points) <=50%(0 point)	3/NA	
<i>Plantation over dump area</i>				100% (5 points) >50 < 100% (2 points) <=50%(0 point)	5/NA	
<i>Plantation over virgin area(Green belt development)</i>				100% (3 points) >50 < 100% (1 points) <=50%(0 point)	3/NA	
<i>Agro forestry Area</i>				If done 2 else 0	2/NA	
3 (a) Efforts for faster restoration						
Details* (Soil- moisture conservation, fencing of area, drip irrigation, etc.)				Yes ( 2 points) No ( 0 points)	2/NA	
3 (b) Treatment of problematic soils, if applicable				Yes ( 2 points) No ( 0 points) N.A.	2/NA	
Details* (Treatment with soil amendments, manuring, bio fertilizers etc. )						
<b>4) Creation of Eco tourism/ recreational facility if applicable</b>						
Area reshaped by pitching & recontouring				100% (2 points) >50 < 100% (1 points)	2 / NA	

				<=50%(0 point)		
<b>5) Dump area stabilisation and development, if applicable</b>						
Area covered by Geo textiles or similar techniques in Ha				100% (5 points) >50 < 100% (1 points) <=50%(0 point)	5 / NA	

Summary of Points obtained in Module-II	Module-II Applicable Maximum Points (3)	Module-II Points Scored (iii)

**MODULE III: ADDRESSING SOCIAL IMPACTS OF R&R REQUIREMENTS, COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT & WELFARE PROGRAMMES**

Particulars	Details	Rating Points	Applicable Max Points	Points scored
<b>Rehabilitation &amp; Resettlement for Project Affected People</b>				
No. of Project Affected People (PAP) as per approved EIA-EMP / SIA-SMP / R&R , if applicable				
%age of PAP for whom alternate arrangements made for sustained livelihood	Details	100% (3 points) Else (0) NA, if no R&R involved	3 / NA	
% of project affected families given employment	Details	100% (5 points) 71<100 % (3 points) 51-70 % (2 points) <50 (No points) NA, if no R&R involved	5 / NA	
% of project affected families who have been skilled by the lessee and absorbed (% of total employment given to affected families)	Details	>50% (5 points) 30 to 50 % (3 points) 10 to 30 (2 points) <10 (0 point) NA, if no R&R involved	5 / NAs	
Social Impact Assessment(SIA) undertaken and Social Impact Management Plan prepared and implemented		YES (1 points) No (0 Points)	1	
<b>Grievance Redressal</b>				
Whether a public grievance redressal mechanism is in place		Yes(1 point) No (0 points)	1	
Name, Address and Phone no./Email of Committee Members responsible displayed publicly		Yes(1 point) No (0 points)	1	
<b>Stakeholder Participation</b>				
Participation of stakeholders, host communities and community groups in various activities of the companies such as Environment Management, CSR		Yes (3 points) No Participation (0 points)	3	

activities and Capacity expansion plans						
Welfare and socio-economic development programs for local communities	Details of Expenditure & Work done during Reporting Year		No. of Beneficiaries	% achievement against proposals	Max Applicable Points	Points scored
	Proposed	Actual				
<b>Support for Drinking Water &amp; Agriculture</b>						
Water storage tanks, drinking water supply facility & irrigation support to agriculture	Exp.	Exp.		81-100% (3 points) 51-80% (2 points) 41-50% (1 points) < 40% or no proposals (0 points)	3	
	Work	Work				
<b>Support to Health &amp; Medical Services</b>						
Preventive measures for mitigation of mine related health problems	Exp.	Exp.		81-100% (3 points) 51-80% (2 points) 41-50% (1 points) < 40% or no proposals (0 points)	3	
	Work	Work				
Promotion of Hygiene and Sanitation, public health initiatives	Exp.	Exp.		81-100% (3 points) 51-80% (2 points) 41-50% (1 points) < 40% or no proposals (0 points)	3	
	Work	Work				
<b>Support to Skill development &amp; Education</b>						
Skill development & Vocational Training programmes for local communities	Exp.	Exp.		81-100% (3 points) 51-80% (2 points) 41-50% (1 points) < 40% or no proposals (0 points)	3	
	Work	Work				
Promotion of Literacy & Education	Exp.	Exp.		81-100% (3 points) 51-80% (2 points) 41-50% (1 points) < 40% or no proposals (0 points)	3	
	Work	Work				
<b>Social &amp; Livelihood Support</b>						
Support to social, cultural, recreational activities	Exp.	Exp.		81-100% (2 points) 41-80% (1 points) < 40% or no proposals (0 points)	2	
	Work	Work				
Livelihood & Socio-Economic standard improvement support	Exp.	Exp.		81-100% (3 points) 51-80% (2 points) 41-50% (1 points) < 40% or no proposals (0 points)	3	
	Work	Work				
<b>Support to Transportation Services &amp; Infrastructure</b>						
Improvement of Road connectivity and public transport and other infrastructure facilities	Exp.	Exp.		81-100% (2 points) 41-80% (1 points) < 40% or no proposals (0 points)	2	

	Work	Work		---	---	---
<b>Sourcing of manpower amongst locals (from the district) in the mines</b>						
Total Local Manpower (% of total manpower)	Details		>= 50% (3 points) 30-49 % (2 points) < 30 (1 points)	3		
Skilled local manpower (% of total local manpower)	Details		>= 50% (3 points) 30-49 % (2 points) < 30 (1 points)	3		
Unskilled local manpower (% of total local manpower)	Details		>= 50% (3 points) 30-49 % (2 points) < 30 (1 points)	3		
% of skilled local manpower who have been skilled by the lessee and absorbed (% of skilled local manpower)	Details		>= 50% (3 points) 30-49 % (2 points) < 30 (1 points)	3		
Working in LWE affected districts (as per NITI Aayog list)			Yes (2 points) No (0 points)	2		
Working in Schedule V / Tribal area			Yes ( 3 Points) No (0 points)	3		

**Remarks: Where specific details of works/ activities are not available, the quality assessment may be done as Very Good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2) and Not satisfactory (0).**

Swatchata Programs: Creating/providing sanitation and healthy condition in and around the mine area			
<b>1</b>	Adoption of village for swachhta: 1. School, Hospital, Drinking water and ODF. 2. School, Hospital and Drinking water. 3. School and Hospital.. 4. School.	Yes/No	Yes – 10 / No – 0  Yes – 8 / No – 0 Yes – 6 / No – 0 Yes – 4 / No – 0
<b>2</b>	Adoption of ODF within mining lease area	Yes/No	Yes -5 / No -0
<b>3</b>	General Cleanliness of mines area (Mine working faces, dump areas, roads)	Excellent/Moderate/Poor	Excellent (3)/Moderate (2)/Poor(0)
<b>4</b>	Cleanliness of workshop/Mines Office area	Excellent/Moderate/Poor	Excellent (3)/Moderate (2)/Poor(0)
<b>5</b>	Garbage collection and appropriate disposal	Yes/No	Yes – 3 / No -0
<b>6</b>	Providing drinking water for mining workers	Yes/No	Yes – 2 / No - 0
<b>7</b>	Provision for greenage recreational facility	Yes/No	Yes – 2 / No - 0
<b>8</b>	Awareness program among Mine workers for Swachata	Yes/No	Yes – 2 / No - 0

Summary of Points obtained in Module-III	Module-III Applicable Maximum Points (2)	Module-III Points Scored (ii)

#### **MODULE IV: ASSURANCE AND REPORTING**

<b>PARTICULARS</b>	<b>DETAILS</b>	<b>RATING POINTS</b>	<b>Max Applicable Points</b>	<b>Points scored</b>
<b>Lease Level Reporting - Disclosures and Assurances</b>				
Availability of information on SDF and related Reports on Company's/Mine website	Details	Yes (3 points) No(0 points)	3	
Display of ambient air quality level on website and at prominent locations such as premises gate, mine-office, etc.	Details	Yes (2 points) No(0 points)	3	
Internal auditing for information submitted.	Yes/No	Yes (3 points) No(0 points)	3	
<b>Adoption of Related International Standards</b>				
QMS (ISO_9001)	Yes/No.	Yes (3 points) No (0 points)	3	
EMS (ISO-14001)	Yes/No	Yes (3 points) No (0 points)	3	
OHSAS (ISO-18001)	Yes/No	Yes (3 points) No (0 points)	3	
Social Accountability (8000)	Yes/No	Yes (3 points) No (0 points)	3	
Energy Audit undertaken by BES	Yes/No	Yes (3 points) No (0 points)	3	
GRI/Sustainability Reporting	Yes/No	Yes (3 points) No (0 points)	3	
Swatchata Report (Please Specify)	Yes/No	Yes (2 points) No (0 points)	1	

<b>Summary of Points obtained in Module-IV</b>	<b>Module-IV Applicable Maximum Points (4)</b>	<b>Module-IV Points Scored (iv)</b>

## OVERALL PERFORMANCE & STAR RATING

	<b>Sum of Applicable Maximum Points in all Modules (a)</b>	<b>Sum of Points Scored in all Modules (b)</b>	<b>Percentage (b/a)*100</b>
<b>Module</b>			
<b>Subtotal Module I</b>			
<b>Subtotal Module II</b>			
<b>Subtotal Module III</b>			
<b>Subtotal Module IV</b>			
<b>Overall</b>			
<b>% Obtained</b>			
<b>Star Rating</b>			

### Basic Criterion for rating the mine

1	Date of Approval of Mining Plan/ Modified Mining Plan /Review of Mining Plan with validity	Furnish details like Letter No., Date, Validity, Quantity approved.
2	No. of days Mine was operational (during Reporting Year)	Deletion from here and to be shifted at end
3	Mining operation under suspension by Government authorities as on date of submission	Yes/No

**Comment; After filling the above data base the star rating will be accorded only if the mine has fulfilled both the above base criterion. Else a message would be popped up “THANKS FOR FILLING THE TEMPLATE RIGHT NOW YOU ARE NOT ELEGIBLE FOR STAR RATING DUE TO LESS NO OF WORKING DAYS THAN ..... AND/OR NOT HAVING VALID MINING PLAN OR MINING OPERATION UNDER SUSPENSION”**

### Criteria for Star Rating :

<b>Percentage obtained</b>	<b>Criteria</b>
=>90 to 100 %	5 Star
=>80 to <90 %	4 Star
=>60 to <80 %	3 Star
=>50 to <60 %	2 Star
=>25 to <50 %	1 Star
<=25 %	No rating

**CERTIFICATE**

I ..... , S/o..... Designation..... am authorized to fill and submit this document on behalf of lessee. It is further certified that all the above furnished information is true to the best of my knowledge and based on records.

Date:

Place:

(Name)

Lessee /Authorized person by lessee

## Comments

1. Requirement to analyse the data with the help of query builder to retrieve the database to respond to various PQs and ministry query.
2. Blank PDF template for offline collection of data at mine level from their various departments,
3. After final submission of SR Template a auto generated mail to be send to the Concerned RCOM for its examination and verification. RCOM will on line mark the same to the concerned officer who will receive the right to view that SR template which has been marked to him only. Concerned RCOM and IO shall be able to take the print in PDF of the final submitted template for ref and record in file.
4. After review and verification a final submission shall be made by concerned RCOM and a pdf report shall be auto generated for ref and record and the mail to be sent to the respective mine management.
5. MIS report regarding filling and submission status of the online template filling so that follow up can be made with respective mine management.
6. Validation rule for all data entry fields shall be finalised.
7. As directed by CG Sb during the meeting, FAQ has been prepared and attached herewith.
8. As discussed with Mr Basha the tool tip at the data entry forms will be made available by NISG, further they will also prepare the help file/help module with this software.

## FAQ

- 1 Why Star rating is required for mine management?  
It is a sort of accreditation mechanism under SDF.
- 2 Is it compulsory for the mine management to acquire star rating  
Yes. There is a provision under MMDR Act 1957 U/S 20 A (iii) and rule(?) made there under.
- 3 Who is eligible to file online star rating of mining lease  
Mining lease holder is eligible to file for star rating
- 4 How to file on-line star rating of mines  
A web page under sustainable development evaluation template is provided on IBM website [www.ibm.gov.in](http://www.ibm.gov.in)
- 5 How to get your userid and password for this purpose  
The userid will be your IBM registration number/mines code. Example: IBM registration no. is in form IBM/XXXX/2012 please use the id as XXXX/MIneCode and password shall be mailed to mines owner's registered email.
- 6 What is the period for which the mines data to be filed for star rating  
It is termed as Reporting Year (RY) the financial years data April – March of next year, to be filled till the 31<sup>st</sup> July of that year.
- 7 Is the filed data will be verified  
Yes
- 8 The period of validity of star rating of mines  
The star rating will be valid for one year period from the date of award.
- 9 What benefits the mine owner will have by star rating  
This is a national level accreditation system of SDF reporting of mines.
- 10 How the star rating is communicated to the mine management/lessee.  
After final submission of the filled template, the provisional star rating will be accorded and its pdf filled form and provisional star rating will be communicated to the mine management at its registered email id. Final star rating will be communicated after due verification from IBM.