

Restoration of Areas Mined for Iron-Ore

Forestry & Biodiversity
Area

December 19, 2016

*What of thee I dig out
Let that quickly grow over
Let me not hit thy vitals
Or thy heart*

Atharva Veda 1000 BC



Mining and land degradation



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Mining contributes to land degradation accounting for an area of 0.26mha in the country or 0.08% of the geographical area (ICAR, 2010)
- Land degradation if land restoration practices not taken
- E.g. in Goa, Iron ore dump material flows into fertile agricultural lands, streams/nallahs & working mine pits (Alvares, 2002).
- For each tonne of iron-2-3 tonnes of reject
- 30 million tonnes of rejects generated annually (ore to oreburden ratio ranges from 1 : 2.5 to 3 tonnes)

Location of Iron-ore Mines



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future



Some statistics...



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Production (2014-15)- 128909 th. Tonnes
- Export- 7491 th. Tonnes
- Import- 12093 th. Tonnes
- The production of iron ore increased from 5 million tonnes in 1953 to 152 million tonnes in 2013-14.
- Mining lease granted /executed- as of 2014- 664
- Total area (2014)- 86000 hectare
- Hematite & magnetite: Most important iron ores in India.
 - 59% of higher grade hematite ore deposits, found in the Eastern Sector.
 - 92% of magnetite ore deposits in the Southern Sector (Karnataka)
- Sources: <http://ibm.nic.in/writereaddata/files/03282016115329IMIG%202013-14.pdf>
- <https://www.emis.com/sites/default/files/EMIS%20Insight%20-%20India%20Mining%20Sector%20Report.pdf>
- <http://ibm.nic.in/writereaddata/files/03282016115329IMIG%202013-14.pdf>

Afforestation in mined areas



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

| Total Mines covered | Area covered | Trees Planted | Trees survived | Survival % |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| 105 | 67 hectare | 306613 | 202913 | 66.2 |

<http://www.ibm.nic.in/writereaddata/files/03282016115329IMIG%202013-14.pdf>

Restoration of iron-ore mined areas- the challenge...



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Mine rejects- disturbed ecosystems
- Poor physically, chemically, biologically and nutritionally
- Hence poor media for plant growth.
- Acidity, poor water holding capacity major impediments to revegetating the mine dumps.
- Soil amendments, mulching and topsoil replacement result in favourable conditions for plant growth.
- Selection of plant species with suitable ecological traits to speed up regeneration

A case study from Goa



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Development of a method for the rehabilitation of abandoned mine dumps.
- Identification of suitable amendments, plant species for initiating the process of ecological succession.
- M/s. Dempo Mining Co. old dumpsite at Dhabadaba mining operations, Bicholim Taluka, North Goa-20 ha
- Mine rejects-low grade lumpy ore, aluminous and siliceous powdery ores, screen fines, quartzites, phylites.laterites clays, poor grade iron ore
- Limonitic/magniferous clays and a mixture of above constituents

| NO. OF PLANTS | TYPE OF SOIL | SPECIES | NO. OF PLANTS PLANTED |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|
| A. 1000 PLANTS | | | |
| 1 | 1 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 2 | 2 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 3 | 3 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 4 | 4 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 5 | 5 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 6 | 6 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 7 | 7 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 8 | 8 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 9 | 9 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 10 | 10 | SHAMU | 100 |
| B. 1000 PLANTS | | | |
| 1 | 1 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 2 | 2 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 3 | 3 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 4 | 4 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 5 | 5 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 6 | 6 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 7 | 7 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 8 | 8 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 9 | 9 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 10 | 10 | SHAMU | 100 |
| C. 1000 PLANTS | | | |
| 1 | 1 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 2 | 2 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 3 | 3 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 4 | 4 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 5 | 5 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 6 | 6 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 7 | 7 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 8 | 8 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 9 | 9 | SHAMU | 100 |
| 10 | 10 | SHAMU | 100 |
| TOTAL 1000 | | | |

Quality of dump material



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- 5 ha area of dump as trial plot

| S. No. | Test | Results | Protocol |
|--------|---|---------|--------------------|
| 1 | pH | 6.2 | Mechanical |
| 2 | Electric conductivity (EC) mS/cm | 1.3 | Mechanical |
| 3 | Water holding capacity (%) | 40 | Mechanical |
| 4 | Organic matter (% by mass) | 0.6 | IS : 2720 part-22 |
| 5 | Nitrogen, N (% by mass) | 0.01 | Jackson (1967) |
| 6 | Phosphorus, P (% by mass) | 0.11 | Gravimetry |
| 7 | Potassium, K (% by mass) | 0.22 | AAS, Varian (1989) |
| 8 | Iron, Fe (% by mass) | 46.1 | AAS, Varian (1989) |
| 9 | Aluminum, Al (% by mass) | 4.7 | AAS, Varian (1989) |
| 10 | Manganese, Mn (% by mass) | 1.4 | AAS, Varian (1989) |
| 11 | Exchangeable Hydrogen ions (meq/100 gm) | 1.1 | Jackson (1967) |
| 12 | Bulk density (gm/cc) | 1.77 | Mechanical |

10 samples collected randomly
Acidic, low nutritional content

3 models of rehabilitation trials

Table 1 Models of rehabilitation

| Name of area | <i>Model name</i> | <i>Area (in hectares)</i> |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Stone-pitched area | A | 2.5 |
| Bench-terraced area | B | 1.5 |
| Soil-eroded area or gunny crates area | C | 1.0 |
| Total | | 5.0 |

Of 20 ha area= $\frac{2}{3}$ rd stone pitched with laterite stones to prevent downward movement of sediment.

$\frac{1}{3}$ rd of area consisting of barren slopes



Table 2 Model-wise pits and soil–water conservation measures

| Name of intervention | Model | | | Total |
|--|-------|----------|----------|----------|
| | A | B | C | |
| Site preparation | | | | |
| Bench terracing (number) | — | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Bunding (hectares) | — | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1 |
| Soil and water conservation | | | | |
| Pit digging 45 × 45 × 45 m size (number) | 2350 | 1625 | 1025 | 5000 |
| Seed pits 2 × 2 × 10 m size (number/hectares) | — | 1500/0.6 | 1000/0.4 | 2500/1.0 |
| Gully plugging (number) | | | | |
| Minor size | — | 9 | 50 | 59 |
| Major size | — | — | 8 | 8 |
| Total | — | 9 | 58 | 67 |
| Gunny bag toe-wall (number) | — | — | 4 | 4 |
| Gunny bag crates (3 × 6 × 6 m size) (number) | — | — | 70 | 70 |
| Water harvesting or percolation ponds (number) | 1 | 2 | — | 3 |



Stone pitched area: Slope 30-40 degree
Bench terraced areas:
Low slopes-15-30 further reduced to 10
Gunny bag crates: Planted with
Agave americana
behind crates to stabilise soil
Gunny bag crates-staggered, alternate,
spacing
of 12m X 12 m

Table 3 Ratio of amendments applied for dumps/soil treatment

| Name of amendment | Size of pits | | |
|-------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 0.45 × 0.45 × 0.45 metres | 2 × 2 × 0.10 metres | Others (back of gunny bag crates) |
| Cow dung | 6 (kg per pit) | 14 (kg per pit) | 2 (kg per crate) |
| Gypsum | 8 (kg per pit) | 18 (kg per pit) | 5 (kg per crate) |
| Mycorrhiza | 20 kg for the entire rehabilitation area in ratio of 1 : 2 : 3 (that is, mycorrhiza + cow dung + water) | | |

Species used in each model



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Model A: 2350 plants. Species are *Anacardium occidentale* (grafted and seedling), *Garcinia indica*, *Phyllanthus emblica*, *Dendrocalamus strictus*, *Alstonia scholaris*, *Acacia auriculiformis*, *Acacia mangium* and *Casurina equisetifolia*
- Model B: 1625 plants. Species are *Anacardium occidentale* (grafted), *Garcinia indica*, *Phyllanthus emblica*. Six shrubs also planted. *Panicum maximum*, *Pennisetum pedicellatum*, *Dodonea viscosa*, *Chrysopogon zizanioides*, *Stylosanthes guianensis*, *Panicum antidotale*
- Model C: 1025 plants. Species are *A. occidentale* (grafted & seedling), *Dendrocalamus strictus*, *G. indica* and *A. auriculiformis*. In addition, 1000 plants of *Agave* are planted and 4 kg of *P. pedicellatum* are used along the slopes



Changes in the properties of soil



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

| S. No. Test | Results before treatment (March 2003) | Results after treatment (1st quarter), Sept 2003 |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 pH | 6.2 | 7.5 |
| 2 Electric conductivity (EC) mS/cm | 1.3 | 915 |
| 3 Water holding capacity (%) | 40 | 30.21 |
| 4 Organic matter (% by mass) | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 5 Nitrogen, N (% by mass) | 0.01 | 0.33 |
| 6 Phosphorus, P (% by mass) | 0.11 | 0.135 |
| 7 Potassium, K (% by mass) | 0.22 | 0.01 |
| 8 Iron, Fe (% by mass) | 46.1 | 41.55 |
| 9 Aluminum, Al (% by mass) | 4.7 | 3.05 |
| 10 Manganese, Mn (% by mass) | 1.4 | 0.1 |
| Exchangeable Hydrogen ions | | |
| 11 (meq/100 gm) | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| 12 Bulk density (gm/cc) | 1.77 | 1.48 |

- **Contents of phosphate and nitrates increased**
- **Percent iron content reduced**
- **Enhancement of nutrients**

Plant growth



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Trees growth enhanced in terraced area and gunny bag crates compared with stone-pitched areas
- Grasses reduce downflow of dump material
- Low mortality rate (10%)



Soil and water conservation



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Several soil and water conservation measures
- Inward bench terracing-most beneficial in reducing the slope of dumps and arresting siltation and retaining soil moisture
- Contour bunds and rain water harvesting to retain moisture
- Placement of gunny bag crates in a staggered manner along slopes helps in soil stabilisation. Gunny bag crates placed with GI wire in high slope area $-40-50^{\circ}$
- Construction of loose boulder checks using laterite stones along gullies and on upper reaches of gully plugging have further helped control erosion

Cost efficacy of each model



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Stone pitched area: <Rs 50,000 per ha (excluding stone pitching)
- Inward bench terracing: Rs 115,000/ha
- Gunny bag crates: Rs 130,000 per ha but most effective for steep slopes

Timeline for restoration activities



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- October-December: Soil and water conservation work
- January-February: Advance soil working
- April-May: Good time for mixing and filling pits
- End of May-planting
- September: Hoeing and mulching

Recommendations



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Inward bench terracing for slopes, 35° ; gunny bag crates for more than 35° . Gully plugging where cracks occur along slopes
- Stone pitching recommended where water sources are impacted due to heavy erosion. But expensive-not large scale proposition
- Toe walls help check soil erosion
- Earth working and addition of amendments must be completed by March and then left to weather.
- Gypsum and organic manure improve the physico-chemical condition of the soil
- Use of mycorrhizae helps vegetation in stressed conditions
- Silvi-pastoral model of plantation recommended

Caveats for mine restoration...



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

- Future public health and safety are not compromised
- Environmental resources are not subject to physical and chemical deterioration
- Post-mining use of the site is beneficial and sustainable in the long term
- Adverse socio-economic impacts are minimised
- Opportunity is taken to maximise socioeconomic benefits

Source: [http://www.teriuniversity.ac.in/mct/pdf/new/forestry/MCT%202014%20\(1\).pdf](http://www.teriuniversity.ac.in/mct/pdf/new/forestry/MCT%202014%20(1).pdf)



Creating Innovative Solutions
for a Sustainable Future

Thank you!